



Reply to Holden and Errington, "Type II Toxin-Antitoxin Systems and Persister Cells"

Frédéric Goormaghtigh,^a Nathan Fraikin,^a Marta Putrinš,^b ® Vasili Hauryliuk,^{b,c,d} Abel Garcia-Pino,^a Klas Udekwu,^e Tanel Tenson,^b Niilo Kaldalu,^b Laurence Van Melderen^a

- ^aCellular and Molecular Microbiology (CM2), Faculté des Sciences, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Gosselies, Belgium
- blnstitute of Technology, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia
- ^cDepartment of Molecular Biology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
- dLaboratory for Molecular Infection Medicine Sweden (MIMS), Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
- eDepartment of Molecular Biosciences, The Wenner-Gren Institute, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

KEYWORDS E. coli, persisters, toxin-antitoxins

We thank David W. Holden and Jeff Errington for their comments (1). We agree that scientific research is inherently error-prone. Therefore, validation, reassessment, and reinterpretation of one's own and others' results is part of the scientific approach, regardless of whether the conclusions are affirming or critical. We also agree that further work is needed to establish whether, and in which settings, each individual toxin-antitoxin (TA) system contributes to persister formation in *Escherichia coli* K-12 and/or in other bacterial species. In the following paragraphs, we express our opinion on the topics on which Holden and Errington saw some overstatements and factual inaccuracies in our paper (2).

The reason we tested the persistence phenotype of the newly constructed $\Delta 10 TA$ strain of *E. coli* K-12 at mid-exponential growth phase is because the original study by Maisonneuve et al. proposing the link between the TA systems on persister formation was performed under these conditions (3). We cannot therefore draw conclusions about the role of TA systems in *E. coli* K-12 under other experimental conditions. However, the key outcome of our study and the paper published by Harms and colleagues (4) is a call for setting up all necessary controls, cautiously double-checking new results, and critically reevaluating previously published findings that link TA systems and persister formation.

Holden and Errington use several publications as evidence for TA's role in persistence. For example, an influential and much-cited study by Harrison et al. reported that deletion of the yafQ gene, encoding 1 of the 10 toxin genes deleted in the Δ 10TA strain, caused a drop in persister levels surviving cefazolin (a cephalosporin) and tobramycin (an aminoglycoside antibiotic) in E. coli grown as a biofilm (5). To the best of our knowledge, no follow-up or independent study confirming this result has been published. Therefore, we draw attention to several crucial control experiments lacking in the original paper. First, given that the YafQ-inhibiting antitoxin DinJ itself has been reported to affect the general stress response by decreasing RpoS levels (6), it is necessary to assess whether deletion of the full dinJ-yafQ operon has the same effect as deletion of the sole yafQ gene. Additionally, deletion of yafQ had no effect on persistence to doxycycline or rifampin (5), indicating that YafQ does not play a general role in persistence. Second, restoration of the original persister level by complementation of the dinJ-yafQ knockout from a plasmid or reinsertion of the operon into the chromosome is essential for drawing reliable conclusions from the phenotypes observed with the knockout strain. We have previously encouraged complementation for validation of the gene knockout effects that change persister levels (7). Third, it

Published 25 September 2018

Citation Goormaghtigh F, Fraikin N, Putrins M, Hauryliuk V, Garcia-Pino A, Udekwu K, Tenson T, Kaldalu N, Van Melderen L. 2018. Reply to Holden and Errington, "Type II toxin-antitoxin systems and persister cells." mBio 9:e01838-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01838-18.

Editor Gisela Storz, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD)

Copyright © 2018 Goormaghtigh et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Niilo Kaldalu, niilo.kaldalu@ut.ee, or Laurence Van Melderen, lvmelder@ulb.ac.be.

This is a response to a letter by Holden and Errington (https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01574 -18).

is worth mentioning that an independent report showed that deletion of the dinJ-yafQ system, as well as other TA systems, did not reduce the persistence of E. coli biofilms in the presence of ofloxacin (8).

Holden and Errington recall accurately that ideas connecting TA systems to persistence go back to an E. coli mutant with enhanced levels of persister formation due to the hipA7 gain-of-function allele of the hipA TA system toxin gene (9). Several other papers recently reported the selection of hyper-persistent mutants of E. coli (10, 11). In addition to mutations in antitoxin genes (vapB and yafN) (11), mutations in metabolic genes, such as eno, nuoN, gadC, oppB, and pyrG, as well as mutations in stress response pathways (rcsD, spy) (10, 11) were selected. First, this tells us that there are multiple pathways that when mutated lead to an increase in persistence, showing that persistence can be attributed to many different mechanisms and supporting the hypothesis that persistence is not an evolved character but rather the "inadvertent product of different kinds of error and glitches" as formulated by Levin and colleagues (12). Second, the mutant phenotype is not necessarily informative about the biological function of the gene, and we should avoid concluding that these genes, whether TA genes or others, were selected by evolution for persistence. Regarding the observation that overexpression of toxin-encoding genes increases persistence, Holden and Errington themselves cite an important and often misinterpreted study by Vázquez-Laslop et al., who specifically questioned the validity of overexpression experiments (13). They have shown that not only TA toxins but also other proteins which stop bacterial growth upon overexpression induce persistence. A similar increase in persistence is observed upon pretreatment of bacterial cultures with bacteriostatic antibiotics (14). Since induction of antibiotic tolerance is evidently a common effect of bacterial growth inhibition, we strongly believe that experiments relying on overexpression of a toxin or gain-of-function alleles of TA systems do not constitute evidence for TA systems being causative agents of the naturally occurring persister phenotype.

In conclusion, we are sure that both the mechanisms of antibiotic persistence and the functions of individual TA systems deserve further rigorous, careful, and controlled study if we are to maintain high standards of scientific quality.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Gemma Atkinson for her careful reading and comments.

REFERENCES

- 1. Holden DW, Errington J. 2018. Type II toxin-antitoxin systems and persister cells. mBio 9:e01574-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01574-18.
- 2. Goormaghtigh F, Fraikin N, Putrinš M, Hallaert T, Hauryliuk V, Garcia-Pino A, Sjödin A, Kasvandik S, Udekwu K, Tenson T, Kaldalu N, Van Melderen L. 2018. Reassessing the role of type II toxin-antitoxin systems in formation of Escherichia coli type II persister cells. mBio 9:e00640-18. https:// doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00640-18.
- 3. Maisonneuve E, Castro-Camargo M, Gerdes K. 2013. (p)ppGpp controls bacterial persistence by stochastic induction of toxin-antitoxin activity. Cell 154:1140-1150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.07.048.
- 4. Harms A, Fino C, Sørensen MA, Semsey S, Gerdes K. 2017. Prophages and growth dynamics confound experimental results with antibiotic-tolerant persister cells. mBio 8:e01964-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01964-17.
- 5. Harrison JJ, Wade WD, Akierman S, Vacchi-Suzzi C, Stremick CA, Turner RJ, Ceri H. 2009. The chromosomal toxin gene yafQ is a determinant of multidrug tolerance for Escherichia coli growing in a biofilm. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:2253-2258. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00043-09.
- 6. Hu Y, Benedik MJ, Wood TK. 2012. Antitoxin DinJ influences the general stress response through transcript stabilizer CspE. Environ Microbiol 14:669-679. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02618.x.
- 7. Kaldalu N, Hauryliuk V, Tenson T. 2016. Persisters—as elusive as ever. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 100:6545. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016 -7648-8.
- 8. Bernier SP, Lebeaux D, DeFrancesco AS, Valomon A, Soubigou G, Coppée J-Y, Ghigo J-M, Beloin C. 2013. Starvation, together with the SOS response, mediates high biofilm-specific tolerance to the fluoroquinolone

- ofloxacin. PLoS Genet 9:e1003144. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen
- 9. Moyed HS, Bertrand KP. 1983. hipA, a newly recognized gene of Escherichia coli K-12 that affects frequency of persistence after inhibition of murein synthesis. J Bacteriol 155:768-775.
- 10. Van den Bergh B, Michiels JE, Wenseleers T, Windels EM, Vanden Boer P, Kestemont D, De Meester L, Verstrepen KJ, Verstraeten N, Fauvart M, Michiels J. 2016. Frequency of antibiotic application drives rapid evolutionary adaptation of Escherichia coli persistence. Nat Microbiol 1:16020. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.20.
- 11. Levin-Reisman I, Ronin I, Gefen O, Braniss I, Shoresh N, Balaban NQ. 2017. Antibiotic tolerance facilitates the evolution of resistance. Science 355: 826-830. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaj2191.
- 12. Levin BR, Concepción-Acevedo J, Udekwu KI. 2014. Persistence: a copacetic and parsimonious hypothesis for the existence of non-inherited resistance to antibiotics. Curr Opin Microbiol 21:18-21. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/i.mib.2014.06.016.
- 13. Vázquez-Laslop N, Lee H, Neyfakh AA. 2006. Increased persistence in Escherichia coli caused by controlled expression of toxins or other unrelated proteins. J Bacteriol 188:3494-3497. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JB.188.10.3494-3497.2006
- 14. Ocampo PS, Lázár V, Papp B, Arnoldini M, Abel Zur Wiesch P, Busa-Fekete R, Fekete G, Pál C, Ackermann M, Bonhoeffer S. 2014. Antagonism between bacteriostatic and bactericidal antibiotics is prevalent. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:4573-4582. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC .02463-14.